

# REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS/PROPOSALS

Issued by:  
Underwood Conservation District (UCD)  
PO Box 96, 170 NW Lincoln St., Park Center Bldg.  
White Salmon, WA 98672  
509-493-1936, [ucd@gorge.net](mailto:ucd@gorge.net)  
<http://w3.gorge.net/ucd/>

## Contents:

1. <u>Project Title</u> .....	2
2. <u>Public Notice and Mailing List</u> .....	2
3. <u>Proposal Schedule</u> .....	2
4. <u>Project Summary</u> .....	2
5. <u>Scope of Work, Tasks and Deliverables</u> .....	5
6. <u>Proposal Requirements</u> .....	7
7. <u>Changes to the RFQ/P</u> .....	8
8. <u>Statement of Qualifications &amp; Proposal Submittal</u> .....	9
9. <u>Evaluation Criteria</u> .....	9
10. <u>Awarding of Contract</u> .....	9
11. <u>Contract Compensation</u> .....	10
12. <u>Project Schedule</u> .....	10
13. <u>Underwood CD Rights and Obligations</u> .....	10
14. <u>For Further Information</u> .....	11
<u>Figure 1</u> .....	12
<u>Figure 2</u> .....	12

## **1. Project Title: Little Wind Community Habitat Restoration Project**

### **2. Public Notice and Mailing List**

A public notice of this Request for Qualifications/Proposals (RFQ/P) will be published in the Skamania Pioneer, White Salmon Enterprise, Hood River News newspapers the week of August 9<sup>th</sup>, 2010. Additionally, a copy of this RFQ/P can be downloaded from our website at <http://w3.gorge.net/ucd/windriverwatershed.htm>. A link to this web address was also e-mailed to:

Agua Tierra Environmental Consulting, Inc., Olympia, WA  
AMEC Earth and Environmental, Inc., Snohomish, WA  
Anderson Perry & Associates, Inc., La Grande, OR  
Aquatic Contracting, Vancouver, WA  
Bair, LLC, Stevenson, WA  
Environmental Technology Consultants, Vancouver, WA  
Herrera Environmental Consultants, Seattle, WA  
ICF International, Portland, OR  
Inter-Fluve, Hood River, OR  
JUB Engineering, Kennewick, WA  
River Design Group, Corvallis, OR  
Salmon River Engineering, White Salmon, WA  
Vigil Argimis, Portland, OR  
Waterfall Engineering, Olympia, WA  
Watershed Professionals Network, LLC, Mt. Hood, OR  
Wildlands, Inc., Richland, WA

### **3. Proposal Schedule**

**3.1 Required Site Visit:** Potential respondents to this RFQ/P are required to make a site visit. **Those respondents who have already visited the site with UCD staff are waived of this requirement. Interested respondents still needing to visit the site should contact Jamie Gomez, representative for UCD, no later than August 20, 2010 at 4:00 pm to schedule a site visit prior to proposal submittal.** All site visit attendees will be asked to sign a hold harmless agreement (available at: <http://w3.gorge.net/ucd/windriverwatershed.htm>). Please review and expect to sign at site visit. If necessary, provide employer's signature.

**3.2 Deadline:** Proposals are due in the Underwood Conservation District Office, located at 170 NW Lincoln St., Park Center Bldg. (PO Box 96), White Salmon, WA 98672 by **4:00 pm, September 3, 2010**. UCD will not accept proposals after this date and time nor will it accept postmark dates. **Please also refer to Section 13. Project Schedule.**

### **4. Project Summary**

#### **4.1 Goals:**

- Enhancement of holding, spawning, and juvenile rearing habitat for steelhead, Coho, Chinook, chum, and coastal cutthroat (to be achieved by collaboration between UCD, eventual construction contractor, and awarded design contractor through the deliverables requested in this RFQ/P).

- Collaborate with landowner's goals and planned uses of the site to optimize educational and interpretational value of habitat enhancement (to be achieved by UCD in cooperation with landowner, not directly part of this RFQ/P).

**4.2 Location:** This project reach is located between river mile 0.0 and 0.5 of the Little Wind River, a tributary to the Wind River in Skamania County, WA. The ½ mile reach represents the maximum extent of project work, but given budget constraints and site feasibility, it is not expected that habitat enhancement work will extend through this entire reach. This reach of the Little Wind corresponds to land owned by Dan Gundersen / Windwood LLC. The Little Wind can be accessed from S.R. 14 by turning north onto Berge Road and then turning left onto Indian Cabin Rd. The County-owned portion of Indian Cabin Road ends at a private gate. Traveling beyond this private gate, a forest road crosses the Little Wind near its confluence with the mainstem Wind River, after which the road angles northeast up the Little Wind canyon. This project is located in WRIA 29A, Township 3N, Range 8E, Section 22 SW ¼ Section, and Latitude: 45.727732, Longitude: -121.791546. Figure 1 shows the approximate location of the Little Wind River. Figure 2 shows the Little Wind in relation to Indian Cabin Rd., the mainstem Wind River, and S.R. 14.

**4.3 Description:** The Little Wind River provides habitat for steelhead, Coho and Chinook all listed as threatened under the federal Endangered Species Act (ESA). Additionally, the Little Wind River provides potential habitat for chum salmon as well as coastal cutthroat. Current and historic land management practices are thought to have had an effect on fish habitat in the Little Wind subbasin. These practices may have been exacerbated by the watershed's natural geology and the local climate regime. The stream reach between river mile 0.0 and 0.5 has been identified and selected as a restoration site by UCD staff based upon review of past analyses and assessments, including the WRIA 29 Limiting Factors Analysis, the Wind River Watershed Assessment 2<sup>nd</sup> Iteration, the Wind River Chapter of the Lower Columbia Sub-basin Plan and the Lower Columbia Salmon Recovery 6-Year Habitat Work Schedule and Lead Entity Habitat Strategy as well as assessment work conducted by Bair LLC. Additionally, a successful partnership between UCD and landowner Dan Gundersen was instrumental in selecting this site for focused habitat restoration work.

#### **4.4 Background:**

**4.4.1 Proposal background:** Underwood Conservation District issued a Request for Qualifications / Proposals for this project in May, and issued an Addendum with timeline revisions in June. These were advertised via newspaper and email outlets. Four engineer and design firms showed interest in the Little Wind Community Habitat Restoration Project and attended a site visit field tour. From this group, Underwood CD received one Statement of Qualifications. The other interested firms indicated that the scope of the project, the project's overall goals and objectives, the quantity and scope of the deliverables and the timeline were all *inconsistent* with the stated budget of approximately \$30,000-\$50,000. Given that the previous RFQ/P was likely inconsistent with any fair and reasonable price for the totality of professional services requested within the RFQ/P, in addition to the overall lack of competition amongst the received Statements of Qualifications (one received), Underwood Conservation District discontinued its search for a qualified firm to provide habitat restoration design and engineer services under the previous Request for Qualifications / Proposals, and has since revised and re-advertised the current RFQ/P.

**4.4.2 Geomorphic Setting:** The natural climatic and geomorphic setting of the Little Wind drainage, which includes clay-rich soils of the Steever and St. Martin Series above weak volcaniclastic bedrock associated with Ohanahpecosh Formation make the Little Wind highly susceptible to disturbance. The Steever and St. Martin Series soils are considered to have low soil load bearing strength, high shrink-swell potential, and a high seasonal groundwater table. The Little Wind River watershed has been influenced by land management practices, including the building of forest roads, logging, the construction of a natural gas pipeline and two Bonneville Power Administration transmission line crossings. A copy of the Reese and Randall's "Big Slides on the Little Wind: Assessment of the Lower Little Wind River North Bank Landslides, Skamania County, WA" can be downloaded from the UCD website by going to: <http://w3.gorge.net/ucd/windriverwatershed.htm> (link is called PSU Little Wind River Landslide Geology Study).

**4.4.3 Habitat:** The "Little Wind River Habitat Data Compilation" was prepared by Jamie Gomez of Underwood CD using unpublished data from the USFS and USGS. In 2007 the USFS conducted a Level II Aquatic Ecosystem Survey in the Little Wind. This data establishes metrics of fish habitat, LWD, geomorphology and hydrology. Please refer to this report for more information about known habitat data on the Little Wind. A copy of the habitat data compilation can be downloaded from the UCD website by going to: <http://w3.gorge.net/ucd/windriverwatershed.htm>

**4.4.4 Ecosystem Diagnosis and Treatment (EDT)** modeling in the Little Wind River has indicated the relative degree to which overall population abundance would be affected if the habitat attributes were restored to template conditions. For Coho, an increase in key habitat quantity has the potential to have a High or Extreme impact on spawning, egg incubation, fry colonization, 0-age active rearing and prespawn holding success. For Little Wind Steelhead, an increase in key habitat quantity has the potential to have an Extreme effect on spawning and egg incubation while changes in sediment load and temperature are expected to have a High effect on egg incubation success. Please refer to the "Lower Columbia Salmon Recovery 6-Year Habitat Work Schedule and Lead Entity Habitat Strategy" (<http://www.lcfrb.gen.wa.us/2010%20HWS.htm>) to review key priorities, population priorities, viability goals, watershed process limitations, limiting factors, EDT analysis and other measures pertaining to salmon and steelhead recovery in the Little Wind.

**4.4.5 For More Information:** To access the below listed documents which contain information and data relevant to Little Wind River, go to: <http://w3.gorge.net/ucd/windriverwatershed.htm>

- Little Wind River Schematic Drawings 1-5 (PDF)
- Little Wind River Project Reach Photos (PDF)
- Lower Wind River Topo Landownership Map – Columbia Gorge National Scenic Area (JPEG)
- Lower Wind River Ortho Landownership Map – Columbia Gorge National Scenic Area (PDF)
- Little Wind River 2006 Ortho Map (JPEG)
- Little Wind River Habitat Data Compilation (PDF)
- PSU Little Wind River Landslide Geology Study (Microsoft Word)
- Little Wind River Community Habitat Restoration WWRI Funding Proposal (PDF)
- USFS Wind River Watershed Analysis 2<sup>nd</sup> Iteration (Microsoft Word)
- Wind River Chapter of the Lower Columbia Sub-basin Plan (PDF)
- WRIA 29 Limiting Factor Analysis (PDF)
- 2009 Aerial Photography of Little Wind River Area is available at: <http://datagateway.nrcs.usda.gov/>

- A topographic survey was done in 2008 in areas around the Little Wind River, but not along streambank or instream. This data will be available to the selected contractor/firm from Klein and Associates Inc. in AutoCad 2008 format. PDF drawings of the survey are currently available at: <http://w3.gorge.net/ucd/windriverwatershed.htm>
- Historic Photos of Timber and USFS in the Wind River Valley (compiled by Sarah Greene, Powerpoint)

## **5. Scope of Work, Tasks and Deliverables**

UCD is soliciting proposals to identify, develop and design site specific habitat restoration actions to increase the quantity and quality of adult holding, spawning and juvenile rearing of ESA listed steelhead, Coho and Chinook salmon in the lower 0.5 miles of the Little Wind River. The overall scope and size of the eventual restoration project will be scaled to meet project goals, landowner objectives and budgetary constraints. The selected design firm will review existing data, conduct site reconnaissance, collect additional field data, conduct alternatives analysis as needed, complete permit level and fit-in-the-field level designs and associated construction documents for 1-5 restoration actions, and oversee construction activities of selected actions. Additionally, the selected design firm will work cooperatively with UCD staff to meet all local, state, and federal regulations, ordinances and laws that pertain to this project. Finally, the selected design firm will work cooperatively with the landowner to ensure that project designs are consistent with landowner goals and objectives.

Total value of the design phase of this project is **\$72,000**. Design work described in this RFQ/P will be scaled to meet constraints of design phase budget, total construction budget, and availability of logs and other materials. The eventual construction project is slated to have a total construction budget of approximately \$68,000 and approximately \$10,000-\$15,000 worth of logs tentatively committed to the project from CRGNSA and the landowner.

### **Task 1. Review existing data, identify data gaps, collect field data & develop preliminary conceptual list of restoration actions.**

**Objective:** Successful applicant will review all relevant existing data, identify gaps in data, and work to fill critical gaps with limited field data collection. Data analysis will lead to direct development of restoration actions and approach. UCD staff will work with successful applicant to supply existing data wherever possible.

#### **Task 1 Deliverables:**

- 1.1 Review existing relevant data pertaining to habitat, hydrology, geology maps, watershed assessments, high resolution aerial photography, historic aerial photography and anecdotal data, Recovery Plan and Habitat Strategy documents, previously developed Schematic Drawings and any other relevant data.
- 1.2 Conduct site reconnaissance.
- 1.3 Identify gaps in data and work with UCD staff to outline plan for collecting additional field data.
- 1.4 Collect data, which may include topographic surveys, flow surveys, pebble counts, cross-sections, profile, and other measurements for geomorphologic and hydraulic analysis. UCD staff will be available to assist with data collection efforts, including total station surveying, pebble counts, flow measurements, etc. All data should be made available to UCD in electronic format.

- 1.5 Identify and develop list of preliminary concepts of restoration actions, sites, and approaches. This list will be collaboratively reviewed to determine which restoration actions should be pursued based upon project goals, landowner objectives and project budget constraints.
- 1.6 Meet with UCD staff and landowner to present and discuss list of preliminary concepts of restoration actions, sites, and approaches. From this preliminary list, a set of actions will be selected for further design to the permit and fit-in-the-field level, depending on design and construction budget constraints. UCD staff and awarded firm will collaboratively define design criteria for next design level of selected restoration actions. The date of this meeting is negotiable and will depend on the nature of the field data that is being collected and how that data may influence the development of the list of restoration actions.

## **Task 2. Develop design & contract documents**

Objective: Development of permit level designs as well as fit-in-the-field designs. These designs will be used to satisfy all remaining rules, ordinances, regulations and laws. Additionally these designs will be used by project team specialists and contractors during the construction phase of the project.

### Task 2 Deliverables:

- 2.1 Conduct sufficient analyses of existing and collected data to determine hydrologic, hydraulic and geomorphologic conditions, and design details, such as construction material sizing, stability design such as anchors and ballast, excavation and construction elevations, sediment transport, flood conveyance, and channel migration, etc. The extent of these analyses is negotiable based on nature of proposed restoration action, and will be defined during Deliverable 1.6 meeting.
- 2.2 Develop permit level designs and narratives for a selected set of proposed restoration actions. These designs are intended to further the level of detailed knowledge pertaining to construction relevant methods, quantities and metrics. These designs are intended to advance concepts from the list of summaries provided during Deliverable 1 meeting to a level of design useful to begin pursuing and acquiring necessary project materials, building a construction level budget and pursuing all necessary permits. UCD will be responsible for the acquisition of all necessary permits but will rely on information provided by the awarded firm to fulfill permitting requirements. Each permit level design will include scaled site plans showing OHW and OLW contours, locations of work areas, treatments, descriptions, and cut and fill quantities, construction material quantities, and any pollution or erosion control plan language of relevance.
- 2.3 Develop and provide 3 printed sets and one electronic set of fit-in-the-field design and contract documents for selected restoration actions. These documents may be identical to or similar to permit level designs but, depending on budget constraints, may include computer aided drawing (CAD) drawings and technical specifications. Finally, design and contract documents shall include all appropriate information necessary to hire a contractor to perform the construction phase of the project, including detailed and itemized construction cost estimates. UCD staff will assist with providing necessary language for contract documents.
- 2.4 Meet with UCD staff to review completed design and contract documents and make any final changes.

Tasks 1 & 2 Budget: \$58,000

### **Task 3. Design & engineering construction oversight**

Objective: Awarded firm will provide on-site construction oversight services including construction material inspections and construction oversight for various fit-in-the-field design elements.

#### Task 3 Deliverables:

- 3.1 Inspect construction materials.
- 3.2 Provide construction oversight during construction phase.
- 3.3 Provide fit-in-the-field construction direction when necessary and adapt designs to changing and/or unforeseen circumstances and conditions related to the work site and materials.

Task 3 Budget: \$14,000

## **6. Proposal Requirements**

The proposal is limited to a total maximum number of fifteen (15) pages, which may be double sided, on 8.5" x 11" paper. If charts and/or graphs are utilized, text must be a readable size font, with type size no smaller than 10 point. Said graphics shall be included in the page count. Please provide one (1) electronic copy and four (4) hard copies of your proposal, signed by a principal authorized to enter into binding terms. Proposal documents shall include reference to the RFQ/P and any addenda.

In your proposal, please address the following:

### **6.1 Project Team and Description of Desired Qualifications:**

- 6.1.1 Include a profile of your firm, including legal status, year of organization, UBI, and tax identifier;
- 6.1.2 Show an organizational chart, identifying Principal-in-Charge, Project Manager, and project team members;
- 6.1.3 Include a statement of qualifications of the firm and the project team, including, but not limited to ability to meet schedule, familiarity with relevant design standards and permitting, past performance, Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise participation, etc.;
- 6.1.4 List any subcontractors and their tasks;
- 6.1.5 Describe project team's technical experience in design and engineering of successful riparian and fish habitat restoration projects, determining cost-effective and appropriate restoration design alternatives, efficient construction sequencing, and overseeing construction of successful fish habitat restoration projects;
- 6.1.6 Demonstrate firm's ability to work well with a diverse array of stakeholders including representatives from federal, state and local agencies and organizations, such as Ecotrust, NOAA, USFS, CRGNSA, WDFW, USFWS, Skamania County, any other relevant agencies, and private landowners.
- 6.1.7 Describe any projects that resulted in damages or claims against your firm or design team members in the past five years;
- 6.1.8 List general & professional liability coverage (the awarded contractor will be asked to provide proof of coverage);
- 6.1.9 List three pertinent references;
- 6.1.10 Describe change order procedures.

### **6.2 Technical Proposal and Design Concept:**

- 6.2.1 Detailed description of the work plan, engineering and other professional services, and other methods to be provided in order to meet the requirements of the RFQ/P. Include measures to deal with potential project complexities, including subcontractor coordination, property owner relations, and any other unforeseen conditions. Alternative and innovative scenarios, provided they are well described and explained, are highly encouraged. Establish milestones and describe measures to ensure that specified milestones are met.
- 6.2.2 A schedule showing all major tasks and deliverables and the interrelationship between tasks/deliverables and milestone dates. Include a list of what you see as products necessary for the construction contractors.
- 6.2.3 Describe your preliminary ideas regarding design concepts for this project;
- 6.2.4 Identify potential risk factors, special issues or problems that are likely to be encountered in the design & engineering phase of the project, and explain how the engineering and construction documents will address those risks, issues or problems;
- 6.2.5 Describe the extent to which geomorphologic and hydraulic analysis will enter into the design, and describe how this will be accomplished.

## **7. Changes to the RFQ/P**

UCD reserves the right to make such changes in the RFQ/P as it may deem appropriate. Any and all changes in the RFQ/P will be by written addendum issued by UCD to all potential respondents who have been issued copies of the RFQ/P from UCD and attended the required pre-proposal site visit.

Any potential respondent may request a change in any part of the RFQ/P. Any such request shall:

- 8.1 Be in writing.
- 8.2 Identify the particular portion of the RFQ/P affected.
- 8.3 Include the specific language requested to effect such change.
- 8.4 Contain a statement of justification which establishes the advantage to UCD in making the change.

Respondent-requested changes or inquiries should be submitted as early as possible. UCD may not consider requests submitted less than six (6) business days prior to the Proposal due date. Accordingly, any request for change must be received by UCD by 4:00 pm, August 27, 2010.

UCD will evaluate any change request so submitted but reserves complete and sole discretion to determine the desirability of any requested change. Any change desired by UCD will be the subject of an addendum and will be issued to all properly qualified proposers.

## **8. Proposal Submittal**

The proposal, meeting the above requirements, is limited to a total maximum number of fifteen (15) pages, which may be double sided, on 8.5" x 11" paper. If charts and/or graphs are utilized, text must be a readable size font, with type size no smaller than 10 point. Said graphics shall be included in the page count. One (1) electronic copy and four (4) hard copies of your proposal, signed by a principal authorized to enter into binding terms, **must arrive at the following address no later than 4:00 p.m., September 3, 2010:**

Underwood Conservation District  
PO Box 96, 170 NW Lincoln St., Park Center Bldg.  
White Salmon, WA 98672

## **9. Evaluation Criteria**

The criteria that will be used to evaluate each proposal include, but are not limited to, the following:

- 9.1 Understanding of project goals and tasks;
- 9.2 Qualifications and experience with design of fish habitat restoration and enhancement projects;
- 9.3 Philosophical approach to the project (conceptual sense of the project, natural systems, restoration);
- 9.4 Proposed work plan, including innovative and cost-efficient proposals, design concept(s) and basis of project design;
- 9.5 Completeness of the responses to requested proposal contents;
- 9.6 Estimated project timeline;
- 9.7 Ability to work in close cooperation with the landowners, UCD, and regulatory agencies;
- 9.8 Overall business stability and record of success;

One or more evaluation team members may conduct an initial evaluation of all proposals, using the evaluation criteria specified in the RFQ/P, and may identify a subset of proposals as finalists for further evaluation by the evaluation team. After the initial evaluation, members of the evaluation team may perform any of their functions individually or as a group consisting of two or more evaluation team members. If particular functions are performed by individual evaluation team members or by a group consisting of less than a full evaluation team, the evaluation team members performing the functions shall report to the full team a summary of the information gathered or conclusions reached. A report of final evaluation results and any recommendation regarding the award of the contract may be made without the participation of all evaluation team members, provided that a majority of evaluation team members participate.

## **10. Awarding of Contract**

This is a qualifications-based selection. UCD will determine the highest ranked respondent, and members of the evaluation team and the respondent will then attempt to negotiate a “fair and reasonable” price for deliverables, as well as other final contract terms that are acceptable to both parties. If such negotiation is successful, UCD may award the contract to the highest ranked respondent. If such negotiation does not result in mutually acceptable contract terms, UCD reserves the right to reject the proposal and repeat the process with the next highest ranked respondent, and so on, until mutually acceptable contract terms, if any, are achieved.

UCD is an Equal Opportunity employer. Underwood Conservation District encourages disadvantaged, minority, and women-owned consultant firms to respond. The prospective consultant must also agree to provide equal opportunity in the administration of the contract, and its subcontracts or other agreements. Firms are advised that this project is federally funded.

UCD plans to select a contractor no later than September 17, 2010. UCD will issue Notice to Proceed after execution of the Contract by UCD. The Notice to Proceed will state the date on which the contractor is to begin work and the date by which the contractor will be required to complete the work.

## **11. Contract Compensation**

Funding for this project is being provided to UCD from a combination of sources, including Bonneville Power Administration and Ecotrust, on a grant reimbursement basis. An invoice schedule may be arranged by

agreement between UCD and the contractor. All invoices for contracted labor will be honored. Payments are issued once per month at the UCD Board of Supervisors meeting (usually the third Tuesday of the month).

## **12. Project Schedule**

This project schedule is subject to change depending upon negotiations with selected contractor and availability of third parties:

12.1 Request for qualifications/proposals issued.....	Aug. 9, 2010
12.2 Advertise RFQ/P in newspaper.....	Aug. 11-12, 2010
12.3 Last day to schedule required pre-proposal site visit.....	Aug. 20, 2010
12.4 Required pre-proposal site visit (for anyone who's not seen the site).....	TBD
12.5 Last day to request changes to RFQ/P.....	Aug. 27, 2010
12.6 Proposals due, 4:00 pm.....	Sept. 3, 2010
12.7 Notification of preferred respondent, begin contract negotiation.....	Sept. 17, 2010
12.8 Sign contract and set invoice schedule.....	Oct. 2010
12.9 Receive Notice to Proceed and attend kick-off meeting with landowner.	Oct. 2010
12.10 Meet with UCD staff for review of Task 1 Deliverables.....	Jan. 2011
12.12 Meet with UCD staff for review of Task 2 Deliverables.....	Apr. 2011
12.13 Final invoicing deadline for Task 1 & 2 Deliverables.....	June 30, 2011
12.14 Construction period and performance of Task 3 Deliverables.....	Jul. – Oct., 2011
12.15 Final invoicing deadline for Task 3 Deliverables.....	Dec. 1, 2011

## **13. Underwood Conservation District's Rights and Obligations**

UCD assumes no obligations, responsibilities, or liabilities, fiscal or otherwise, to reimburse all or part of the costs incurred or alleged to have been incurred by parties considering a response to and/or responding to this RFQ/P. All of such costs shall be borne solely by each respondent and its team members.

During the performance of this RFP, contract negotiation, and project implementation, UCD agrees to comply with all federal and state nondiscrimination laws, regulations, and policies.

UCD retains the right to:


- Cancel, withdraw, postpone, or extend this RFQ/P in whole or in part at any time prior to the execution of a contract without incurring any obligations or liabilities
- Issue a new RFQ/P,
- Reject any and all proposals received at any time, even after contractor selection if a "fair and reasonable" cannot be negotiated,
- Modify dates projected in this RFQ/P,
- Waive minor irregularities, errors, and omissions in received proposals,
- Correspond or meet with respondents to seek clarification or improved understanding of their responses to the RFQ/P,
- Seek data that has the potential to improve understanding and evaluation of responses to the RFQ/P.

The award of this contract will be subject to the availability of funds and ability to negotiate a "fair and reasonable" price.


## **14. For Further Information**

If you have questions or need further explanation on this RFQ/P or the project in general please contact:

Jamie Gomez, Watershed Resource Technician  
Tova Cochrane, District Manager  
Underwood Conservation District  
PO Box 96, 170 NW Lincoln St., Park Center Bldg.  
White Salmon, WA 98672  
509-493-1936, [jamiegomez@gorge.net](mailto:jamiegomez@gorge.net), [tovacochrane@gorge.net](mailto:tovacochrane@gorge.net)  
<http://w3.gorge.net/ucd/>



**Figure 1.** This project vicinity map shows the location of the Little Wind within Washington State. The Little Wind is marked with a red letter A.



**Figure 2.** This project vicinity map shows the Little Wind in relation to Indian Cabin Road, the mainstem Wind River and S.R. 14